arXiv:1405.0248v2 [math.MG] 3 Mar 2016

Hyperball packings in hyperbolic 3-space

Jenő Szirmai
Budapest University of Technology and
Economics Institute of Mathematics,
Department of Geometry

March 4, 2016

Abstract

In earlier works [26], [27], [28] and [29] we have investigated the densest packings and the least dense coverings by congruent hyperballs (hyperspheres) to the regular prism tilings in n-dimensional hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^n$ $(3 \leq n \in \mathbb{N})$.

In this paper we study a large class of hyperball (hypersphere) packings in 3-dimensional hyperbolic space that can be derived from truncated simplex tilings (e.g. [18], [16]). It is clear, that in order to get a density upper bound for the above hyperball packings, it is sufficient to determine the density upper bound locally, e.g. in truncated simplices.

Thus we study hyperball packings in truncated simplices, i.e. truncated tetrahedra and prove that if the truncated tetrahedron is regular, then the density of the densest packing is ≈ 0.86338. This is larger than the Böröczky-Florian density upper bound for balls and horoballs (horospheres) [4] but our locally optimal hyperball packing configuration cannot be extended to the entirety of hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^3$. But our regular truncated tetrahedron construction, under the extended Coxeter group $[3, 3, 7]$ with top density ≈ 0.82251, seems to be good enough (Table 1).

Moreover, we show that the densest known hyperball packing, related to the regular p-gonal prism tilings [26], can dually be realized by regular truncated tetrahedron tilings as well.

1 Introduction

Let X denote either the n-dimensional sphere $\mathbb{S}^n$, Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^n$, or hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^n$ $(2 \leq n \in \mathbb{N})$ of constant curvature.

In space X let $d_n(r)$ be the density of $n+1$ mutually touching spheres of radius r with respect to the simplex spanned by their centres. L. Fejes Tóth and H. S. M. Coxeter conjectured that the packing density of balls of radius r in X cannot exceed $d_n(r)$. This conjecture has been proved by C. A. Rogers for Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^n$ [17]. The 2-dimensional spherical case was settled by L. Fejes Tóth in [6]. In $\mathbb{H}^n$ there are 3 kinds of "generalized balls (spheres)": the usual balls (spheres), horoballs (horospheres) and hyperballs (hyperspheres).

In [3] K. Böröczky proved the following generalization for *ball and horoball* packings for $n = 3$, and claimed the analogous statement for any n:

Theorem 1.1 (K. Böröczky) *In an n-dimensional space of constant curvature consider a packing of spheres of radius r. In spherical space suppose that $r < \frac{\pi}{4}$. Then the density of each sphere in its Dirichlet-Voronoi cell cannot exceed the density of $n+1$ spheres of radius r mutually touching one another with respect to the simplex spanned by their centers.*

The above greatest density in $\mathbb{H}^3$ is ≈ 0.85328 which is not realized by packing with equal balls. However, it is attained by the horoball packing of $\overline{\mathbb{H}}^3$ where the ideal centers of horoballs lie on the absolute figure of $\overline{\mathbb{H}}^3$. This ideal regular simplex tiling is given with Coxeter-Schläfli symbol $[3, 3, 6]$. Ball packings of hyperbolic n-space are extensively discussed in the literature see e.g. [1], [3], [5],[11] and [12].

In a previous paper [13] we proved that the above known optimal horoball packing arrangement in $\mathbb{H}^3$ is not unique. We gave several new examples of horoball packing arrangements based on totally asymptotic Coxeter tilings that yield the above Böröczky–Florian packing density upper bound [4]. Furthermore, by admitting horoballs of different types at each vertex of a totally asymptotic simplex and generalizing the simplicial density function to $\mathbb{H}^n$ $(n \geq 2)$, we have found that the Böröczky-Florian type density upper bound is no longer valid for fully asymptotic simplices in higher dimensions $n > 3$ [22], [23]. For example in $\mathbb{H}^4$, the density of such optimal, locally densest horoball packing is ≈ 0.77038 larger than the analogous Böröczky-Florian type density upper bound of ≈ 0.73046. However, these horoball packing

configurations are only locally optimal and cannot be extended to the whole hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^4$.

In paper [14] we have continued our previous investigation in $\mathbb{H}^4$ allowing horoballs of different types. We have shown seven counterexamples (which are realized by one-, two-, or three horoball types).

In [26], [27] and [28] we have studied the regular prism tilings and the corresponding optimal hyperball packings. Their metric data and their densities have been determined.

In hyperbolic plane $\mathbb{H}^2$ the universal upper bound of the hypercycle packing density is $\frac{3}{\pi}$, and the universal lower bound of hypercycle covering density is $\frac{\sqrt{12}}{\pi}$, proved by I. Vermes in [32]. Recently, to the best of author's knowledge, candidates for the densest hyperball (hypersphere) packings in the $3, 4$ and 5-dimensional hyperbolic spaces are derived by the regular prism tilings [26], [27] and [28].

We observed that some extremal properties of hyperball packings naturally belong to the regular truncated tetrahedron (or simplex, in general, see Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3). Therefore, in this paper we study hyperball packings in truncated tetrahedra, and prove that if the truncated tetrahedron is regular, then the density of the densest packing is ≈ 0.86338 (see Theorem 5.1). However, these hyperball packing configurations are only locally optimal, and cannot be extended to the whole space $\mathbb{H}^3$. Moreover, we show that the densest known hyperball packing, dually related to the regular prism tilings, introduced in [26], can be realized by a regular truncated tetrahedron tiling.

We have an extensive program of finding globally and locally optimal ball packings in the eight Thurston geometries, arising from Thurston's geometrization conjecture [19], [20], [21], [22], [23], [24], [25] and [30]. Packing density is defined the as the ratio of the volume of a fundamental domain for the symmetry group of a tiling to the volume of the ball pieces contained in the fundamental domain. The large class of the truncated tetrahedron (or simplex) tilings are studied, e.g. in [18], on the base of [16].

2 The projective model and saturated hyperball packings in $\mathbb{H}^3$

We use for $\mathbb{H}^3$ (and analogously for $\mathbb{H}^n$, $n > 3$) the projective model in the Lorentz space $\mathbb{E}^{1,3}$ that denotes the real vector space $\mathbf{V}^4$ equipped with the bilinear form of signature $(1, 3)$, $\langle \mathbf{x},\ \mathbf{y}\rangle = -x^0y^0 + x^1y^1 + x^2y^2 + x^3y^3$, where the non-zero vectors $\mathbf{x} = (x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3) \in \mathbf{V}^4$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y^0, y^1, y^2, y^3) \in \mathbf{V}^4$, are determined up to real factors, for representing points of $\mathcal{P}^n(\mathbb{R})$. Then $\mathbb{H}^3$ can be interpreted as the interior of the quadric $Q = \{[\mathbf{x}] \in \mathcal{P}^3 | \langle \mathbf{x},\ \mathbf{x}\rangle = 0\} =: \partial\mathbb{H}^3$ in the real projective space $\mathcal{P}^3(\mathbf{V}^4, \boldsymbol{V}_4)$. Namely, for an interior point $\mathbf{y}$ holds $\langle \mathbf{y},\ \mathbf{y}\rangle < 0$.

The points of the boundary $\partial\mathbb{H}^3$ in $\mathcal{P}^3$ are called points at infinity, or at the absolute of $\mathbb{H}^3$. The points lying outside $\partial\mathbb{H}^3$ are said to be outer points of $\mathbb{H}^3$ relative to Q. Let $P([\mathbf{x}]) \in \mathcal{P}^3$, a point $[\mathbf{y}] \in \mathcal{P}^3$ is said to be conjugate to $[\mathbf{x}]$ relative to Q if $\langle \mathbf{x},\ \mathbf{y}\rangle = 0$ holds. The set of all points which are conjugate to $P([\mathbf{x}])$ form a projective (polar) hyperplane $pol(P) := \{[\mathbf{y}] \in \mathcal{P}^3 | \langle \mathbf{x},\ \mathbf{y}\rangle = 0\}$. Thus the quadric Q induces a bijection (linear polarity $\mathbf{V}^4 \to \boldsymbol{V}_4$)) from the points of $\mathcal{P}^3$ onto their hyperplanes.

The point $X[\mathbf{x}]$ and the hyperplane $\alpha[\boldsymbol{a}]$ are incident if $\mathbf{x}\boldsymbol{a} = 0$ ($\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{V}^4 \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\},\ \boldsymbol{a} \in \boldsymbol{V}_4 \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$).

The equidistance surface (or hypersphere) is a quadratic surface at a constant distance from a plane (base plane) in both halfspaces. The infinite body of the hypersphere, containing the base plane, is called hyperball.

The *half hypersphere* with distance h to a base plane π is denoted by $\mathcal{H}^h_+$. The volume of a bounded hyperball piece $\mathcal{H}^h_+(\mathcal{A})$, delimited by a 2-polygon $\mathcal{A} \subset \pi$, and its prism orthogonal to π, can be determined by the classical formula (2.1) of J. Bolyai.

$$Vol(\mathcal{H}^h_+(\mathcal{A})) = \frac{1}{4} Area(\mathcal{A}) \left[k \sinh \frac{2h}{k} + 2h\right], \tag{2.1}$$

The constant $k = \sqrt{\frac{-1}{K}}$ is the natural length unit in $\mathbb{H}^3$. K denotes the constant negative sectional curvature. In the following we may assume that $k = 1$.

Let $\mathcal{H}^h$ be a hyperball packing in $\mathbb{H}^3$ with congruent hyperballs of height h. The density of any packing can be improved by adding hyperballs as long as there is sufficient room to do so. Else we say that the packing is saturated. We always assume that our packings are saturated. Sometimes

we take a set of hyperballs $\{\mathcal{H}_i^h\}$ of the hyperball packing $\mathcal{H}^h$. Their base planes are denoted by β_i. Thus in a saturated hyperball packing the distance between two ultraparallel base planes $d(\beta_i, \beta_j)$ is at least $2h$ (where for the natural indices holds $i < j$ and d is the hyperbolic distance function).

3 On hyperball packings in a truncated tetrahedron

We consider a saturated hyperball packing $\mathcal{H}^h$ of hyperballs in $\mathbb{H}^3$ which can be derived from a truncated tetrahedron tiling $\mathcal{T}$. One tetrahedron of $\mathcal{T}$ is $\mathcal{S}$=$C_1^1C_2^1C_3^1$ $C_1^2C_2^2C_3^2$ $C_1^3C_2^3C_3^3$ $C_1^4C_2^4C_3^4$ illustrated in Fig. 1. a.

The ultraparallel base planes of $\mathcal{H}_i^h$ $(i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ are denoted by β_i. The distance between two base planes $d(\beta_i, \beta_j) =: e_{ij} \geq 2h$ $(i < j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\})$. Moreover, let the volume of the truncated tetrahedron (or simplex, in general) $\mathcal{S}$ be $Vol(\mathcal{S})$. We introduce the local density function $\delta(\mathcal{S}(h))$ related to $\mathcal{S}$:

Definition 3.1

$$\delta(\mathcal{S}(h)) := \frac{\sum_{i=1}^4 Vol(\mathcal{H}_i^h \cap \mathcal{S})}{Vol(\mathcal{S})}. \tag{3.1}$$

It is clear, that $\sup_{\mathcal{S}\in\mathcal{T}} \delta(\mathcal{S}(h))$ provides an universal upper bound to the considered hyperball packing $\mathcal{H}^h$ in space $\mathbb{H}^3$. The problem of determining $\sup_{\mathcal{S}} \delta(\mathcal{S})$ seems to be complicated in general, but we can formulate some important assertions.

1. The area of each rectangular hexagon face of $\mathcal{S}$ is, e.g.

$$Area(C_1^1C_2^1C_1^3C_2^3C_2^2C_1^2) = \pi.$$

2. If we restrict ourselves to the above rectangular hexagon $\mathcal{F} = C_1^1C_2^1$ $C_1^3C_2^3C_2^2C_1^2$ then the intersections of $\mathcal{H}_i^h$ $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ with $\mathcal{F}$ form in $\mathcal{F}$ a partial hypercycle packing (see Fig. 1. b).

   It is clear, that the density $\delta(\mathcal{F}(h))$ of the hypercycle packing in $\mathcal{F}$ is maximal if the area $\sum_{i=1}^3 Area(\mathcal{H}_i^h \cap \mathcal{F})$ is maximal, because $Area(\mathcal{F}) = \pi$ is fixed. I. Vermes in [32] noticed that the density $\delta(\mathcal{F}(h))$ is maximal if the lengths of the common perpendiculars are equal to $e_{12} = e_{23} = e_{13} = 2h$. We note here, that in this "regular" case $\sum_{i=1}^3 (b_i)$ is maximal,

as well, where b_i are the "base segments" of the hypercycle domains $\mathcal{H}_i^h \cap \mathcal{F}$. I. Vermes proved in [32] that

$$\delta(\mathcal{F}(h)) = \frac{6\sinh(h)\text{arcsinh}\frac{1}{2\sinh(h)}}{\pi}, \quad \lim_{h\to\infty}(\delta(\mathcal{F}(h))) = \frac{3}{\pi}, \text{ increasingly.}$$

Lemma 3.2 *We can extend the above statement to the other rectangular hexagon facets. Therefore, if the distance between two base planes is $e_{ij} = 2h$ $(i < j \in \{1,2,3,4\})$, then the "regular" truncated tetrahedron provides the densest hypercycle packing in the rectangular hexagons of $\mathcal{S}$, and the density of the densest hypercycle packing can be improved in the rectangular hexagon facets with the density of the above hypercycle packings if $h \to \infty$.*

The dihedral angles of the truncated tetrahedron $\mathcal{S}$ at the edges B_iB_j, $(i < j \in \{1,2,3,4\}$ are denoted by ω_{ij}. In the following assume that the sum of the dihedral angles ω_{ij} is constant: Ω. (At truncations the other dihedral angles of $\mathcal{S}$ are $\frac{\pi}{2}$). We obtain the following lemma as a consequence of the above assertions and formula (2.1).

Lemma 3.3 *If the sum of the above dihedral angles ω_{ij} is constant: Ω, then the surface area of $\mathcal{S}$ is $8\pi - 2\Omega$ constant as well. Therefore, $\sum_{k=1}^{4} Vol(\mathcal{H}_k^h \cap \mathcal{S})$ is maximal if $e_{ij} = 2h$ $(i < j \in \{1,2,3,4\}$.*

Although this lemma does not provide explicite estimate yet (h depends on ω_ij's), it motivates the following additional assumption: let the truncated tetrahedron be regular. Then h can also be calculated as follows.

4 Characteristic orthoschemes for the volume of a truncated regular tetrahedron

An orthoscheme $\mathcal{O}$ in $\mathbb{H}^n$ $n \geq 2$ in classical sense is a simplex bounded by $n+1$ hyperplanes $H_0, \ldots, H_n$ such that ([2]) $H_i \perp H_j$, for $j \neq i-1, i, i+1$.

Remark 4.1 *This definition is equivalent to the following: A simplex $\mathcal{O}$ in $\mathbb{H}^n$ is an orthoscheme iff the $n+1$ vertices of $\mathcal{O}$ can be labelled by $R_0, R_1, \ldots, R_n$ in such a way that span$(R_0, \ldots, R_i) \perp$ span$(R_i, \ldots, R_n)$ for $0 < i < n-1$.*



Figure 1: Truncated tetrahedron and one of its rectangular hexagon faces

Geometrically, complete orthoschemes of degree m can be described as follows:

1. For $m = 0$, they coincide with the class of classical orthoschemes introduced by Schläfli. The initial and final vertices, R_0 and R_n of the orthogonal edge-path R_iR_{i+1}, $i = 0, \ldots, n-1$, are called principal vertices of the orthoscheme (see Remark 4.1).

2. A complete orthoscheme of degree $m = 1$ can be interpreted as an orthoscheme with one outer principal vertex, say R_n, which is truncated by its polar plane $pol(R_n)$ (see Fig. 2. b). In this case the orthoscheme is called simply truncated with outer vertex R_n.

3. A complete orthoscheme of degree $m = 2$ can be interpreted as an orthoscheme with two outer principal vertex, R_0, R_n, which is truncated by its polar hyperplanes $pol(R_0)$ and $pol(R_n)$. In this case the orthoscheme is called doubly truncated (see [10]).

In the following we use the "3-dimensional simple frustum orthoschemes" whose volume formula is derived by the next Theorem of R. Kellerhals [10]:

Theorem 4.2 (R. Kellerhals) *The volume of a three-dimensional hyperbolic complete orthoscheme $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{H}^3$ is expressed with the essential angles $\alpha_{01}, \alpha_{12}, \alpha_{23}$, $(0 \leq \alpha_{ij} \leq \frac{\pi}{2})$ (Fig. 1. b) in the following form:*



a. b.

Figure 2: Truncated tetrahedron with a complete orthoscheme of degree $m = 1$ (simple frustum orthoscheme)

$$Vol(\mathcal{O}) = \frac{1}{4}\{\mathcal{L}(\alpha_{01}+\theta) - \mathcal{L}(\alpha_{01}-\theta) + \mathcal{L}(\frac{\pi}{2}+\alpha_{12}-\theta) +$$
$$+ \mathcal{L}(\frac{\pi}{2}-\alpha_{12}-\theta) + \mathcal{L}(\alpha_{23}+\theta) - \mathcal{L}(\alpha_{23}-\theta) + 2\mathcal{L}(\frac{\pi}{2}-\theta)\},$$

where $\theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ *is defined by:*

$$\tan(\theta) = \frac{\sqrt{\cos^2\alpha_{12} - \sin^2\alpha_{01}\sin^2\alpha_{23}}}{\cos\alpha_{01}\cos\alpha_{23}},$$

and where $\mathcal{L}(x) := -\int_0^x \log|2\sin t|dt$ *denotes the Lobachevsky function.*

In the following we assume that the ultraparallel base planes β_i of $\mathcal{H}_i^{h(p)}$ $(i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ generate a "regular truncated tetrahedron" $\mathcal{S}^r$ with outer vertices B_i (see Fig. 2. a) the non-orthogonal dihedral angles of $\mathcal{S}^r$ are equal to $\frac{\pi}{p}$, $(6 < p \in \mathbb{R})$ and the distances between two base planes $d(\beta_i, \beta_j) =: e_{ij}$ $(i < j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\})$ are equal to $2h(p)$ depending on the angle $\frac{\pi}{p}$.

The truncated regular tetrahedron $\mathcal{S}^r$ can be decomposed into 24 congruent simple frustum orthoschemes; one of them $\mathcal{O} = Q_0Q_1Q_2P_0P_1P_2$ is illustrated in Fig. 2. a where P_0 is the centre of the "regular tetrahedron" $\mathcal{S}^r$, P_1 is the centre of a hexagonal face of $\mathcal{S}^r$, P_0 is the midpoint of a "common

perpendicular" edge of this face, Q_0 is the centre of an adjacent regular triangle face of $\mathcal{S}^r$, Q_1 is the midpoint of an appropriate edge of this face and one of its endpoint is Q_2.

In our case the dihedral angles of orthoschemes $\mathcal{O}$ are the following: $\alpha_{01} = \frac{\pi}{p}$, $\alpha_{12} = \frac{\pi}{3}$, $\alpha_{23} = \frac{\pi}{3}$ (see Fig. 2. b). Therefore, the volume $Vol(\mathcal{O})$ of the orthoscheme $\mathcal{O}$ and the volume $Vol(\mathcal{S}^r) = 24 \cdot Vol(\mathcal{O})$ can be computed for any given parameter p ($6 < p \in \mathbb{R}$) by Theorem 4.2.

5 Packing with congruent hyperballs in a regular truncated tetrahedron

In this case for a given parameter p the length of the common perpendiculars $h(p) = \frac{1}{2}e_{ij}$ ($i < j$, $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$) can be determined by the machinery of projective metric geometry.

The points $P_2[\mathbf{p}_2]$ and $Q_2[\mathbf{q}_2]$ are proper points of hyperbolic 3-space and Q_2 lies on the polar hyperplane $pol(B_1)[\boldsymbol{b}^1]$ of the outer point B_1 thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{q}_2 \sim c \cdot \mathbf{b}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2 \in \boldsymbol{b}^1 \Leftrightarrow c \cdot \mathbf{b}_1\boldsymbol{b}^1 + \mathbf{p}_2\boldsymbol{b}^1 = 0 \Leftrightarrow c = -\frac{\mathbf{p}_2\boldsymbol{b}^1}{\mathbf{b}_1\boldsymbol{b}^1} \Leftrightarrow \\ &\mathbf{q}_2 \sim -\frac{\mathbf{p}_2\boldsymbol{b}^1}{\mathbf{b}_1\boldsymbol{b}^1}\mathbf{b}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2 \sim \mathbf{p}_2(\mathbf{b}_1\boldsymbol{b}^1) - \mathbf{b}_1(\mathbf{p}_2\boldsymbol{b}^1) = \mathbf{p}_2 h_{33} - \mathbf{b}_1 h_{23}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

where h_{ij} is the inverse of the Coxeter-Schläfli matrix

$$(c^{ij}) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\cos\frac{\pi}{p} & 0 & 0 \\ -\cos\frac{\pi}{p} & 1 & -\cos\frac{\pi}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & -\cos\frac{\pi}{3} & 1 & -\cos\frac{\pi}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & -\cos\frac{\pi}{3} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.2}$$

of the orthoscheme $\mathcal{O}$. The hyperbolic distance $h(p)$ can be calculated by the following formula:

$$\begin{aligned} \cosh h(p) = \cosh P_2Q_2 = \frac{-\langle \mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{p}_2\rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{q}_2\rangle\langle \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_2\rangle}} = \\ = \frac{h_{23}^2 - h_{22}h_{33}}{\sqrt{h_{22}\langle \mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{q}_2\rangle}} = \sqrt{\frac{h_{22}\ h_{33} - h_{23}^2}{h_{22}\ h_{33}}}. \end{aligned}$$

We get that the volume $Vol(\mathcal{S}^r)$, the maximal height $h(p)$ of the congruent hyperballs lying in $\mathcal{S}^r$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{4} Vol(\mathcal{H}_i^h \cap \mathcal{S}^r))$ depends only on the parameter p of the truncated regular tetrahedron $\mathcal{S}^r$.

Therefore, the density $\delta(\mathcal{S}^r(h(p)))$ depends only on p $(6 < p \in \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, the volume of the hyperball pieces can be computed by formula (2.1), and the volume of $\mathcal{S}^r$ can be determined by Theorem 4.2. Finally, we obtain



Figure 3:

after careful analysis of the smooth density function the following

Theorem 5.1 *The density function $\delta(\mathcal{S}^r(h(p)))$, $p \in (6, \infty)$ attains its maximum at $p^{opt} \approx 6.13499$, and $\delta(\mathcal{S}^r(h(p)))$ is strictly increasing in the interval $(6, p^{opt})$, and strictly decreasing in (p^{opt}, ∞). Moreover, the optimal density $\delta^{opt}(\mathcal{S}^r(h(p^{opt}))) \approx 0.86338$ (see Fig. 3).*

Remark 5.2 *1. In our case $\lim_{p\to 6}(\delta(\mathcal{S}^r(h(p))))$ is equal to the Böröczky-Florian upper bound of the ball and horoball packings in $\mathbb{H}^3$ [4].*

2. $\delta^{opt}(\mathcal{S}^r(h(p^{opt}))) \approx 0.86338$ is larger than the Böröczky-Florian upper bound; ≈ 0.85328; but these hyperball packing configurations are only locally optimal and cannot be extended to the entirety of hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^3$.

5.1 Tilings with regular truncated tetrahedra in hyperbolic 3-space

In papers [24], [25], [26], [27] we have studied the hyperball packings and coverings to regular prism tilings in n-dimensional ($n = 3, 4, 5$) hyperbolic space and determined the corresponding densest hyperball packings and least dense hyperball coverings. From the definitions of the prism tilings and the complete orthoschemes of degree $m = 1$ it follows that a regular prism tiling exists in space $\mathbb{H}^n$ if and only if there exists a complete Coxeter orthoscheme of degree $m = 1$ with two ultraparallel faces. The complete Coxeter orthoschemes were classified by Im Hof in [8] and [9] by generalizing the methods of Coxeter and Böhm appropriately. The truncated tetrahedron tilings are studied e.g. in [18] on the base of [16].

The hyperball packings in the regular truncated tetrahedra under the extended reflection groups with Coxeter-Schläfli symbol $[3, 3, p]$, investigated in this paper, can be extended to the entire hyperbolic space if $6 < p$ integer parameter and coincide with the hyperball packings to the regular p-gonal prism tilings in $\mathbb{H}^3$ with extended Coxeter-Schläfli symbols $[p, 3, 3]$, which are discussed in [26], because their vertex figure is the tetrahedron given by Schläfli symbol [3,3]. As we know, $[3, 3, p]$ and $[p, 3, 3]$ are dually isomorphic extended reflection groups, just with the above frustum orthoscheme as fundamental domain (Fig. 2.b, matrix (c^{ij}) in formula (5.2)).

In the following Table we summarize the data of the hyperball packings for some parameters p, ($6 < p \in \mathbb{N}$).

Table 1,

p	h	$Vol(\mathcal{O})$	$Vol(\mathcal{H}^h_+(\mathcal{A}))$	δ^{opt}
7	0.78871	0.08856	0.07284	0.82251
8	0.56419	0.10721	0.08220	0.76673
9	0.45320	0.11825	0.08474	0.71663
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
20	0.16397	0.14636	0.06064	0.41431
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
50	0.06325	0.15167	0.02918	0.19240
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
100	0.03147	0.15241	0.01549	0.10165
$p \to \infty$	0	0.15266	0	0

The problems of the densest horoball and hyperball packings in hyperbolic n-space $n \geq 3$ with horoballs of different types and hyperballs has not been settled yet, in general (see e.g. [13], [14], [22], [23]).

Optimal ball (sphere) packings in other homogeneous Thurston geometries represent another huge class of open problems. For these non-Euclidean geometries only very few results are known (e.g. [20], [21], [25], [30]). Detailed studies are the objective of ongoing research. Applications of the above projective method seem to be interesting in (non-Euclidean) crystallography as well, a topic of much current interest.

I thank Prof. Emil Molnár for helpful comments and improvements to this paper.

References

[1] Bezdek, K. Sphere Packings Revisited, *Eur. J. Combin.*, **27/6** (2006), 864–883.

[2] Böhm, J - Hertel,E. *Polyedergeometrie in n-dimensionalen Räumen konstanter Krümmung*, Birkhäuser, Basel (1981).

[3] Böröczky, K. Packing of spheres in spaces of constant curvature, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.*, **32** (1978), 243–261.

[4] Böröczky, K. - Florian, A. Über die dichteste Kugelpackung im hyperbolischen Raum, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.*, **15** (1964), 237–245.

[5] Fejes Tóth, G. - Kuperberg, G. - Kuperberg, W. Highly Saturated Packings and Reduced Coverings, *Monatsh. Math.*, **125/2** (1998), 127–145.

[6] Fejes Tóth, L. Regular Figures, *Macmillian (New York)*, 1964.

[7] Johnson, N.W., Kellerhals, R., Ratcliffe, J.G., Tschants, S.T. The Size of a Hyperbolic Coxeter Simplex, *Transform. Groups*, **4/4** (1999), 329–353.

[8] Im Hof, H.-C. A class of hyperbolic Coxeter groups, *Expo. Math.*, (1985) **3** , 179–186.

[9] Im Hof, H.-C. Napier cycles and hyperbolic Coxeter groups, *Bull. Soc. Math. Belgique*, (1990) **42** , 523–545.

[10] Kellerhals, R. On the volume of hyperbolic polyhedra, *Math. Ann.*, (1989) **245** , 541–569.

[11] Kellerhals, R. Ball packings in spaces of constant curvature and the simplicial density function, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, (1998) **494** , 189–203.

[12] Jacquemet, M. The inradius of a hyperbolic truncated n-simplex, *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, **51/4** (2014), 997-1016 DOI: DOI 10.1007/s00454-014-9600-y.

[13] Kozma, R.T., Szirmai, J. Optimally dense packings for fully asymptotic Coxeter tilings by horoballs of different types, *Monatsh. Math.*, **168/1** (2012), 27–47.

[14] Kozma, R.T., Szirmai, J. New Lower Bound for the Optimal Ball Packing Density of Hyperbolic 4-space, *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, **53/1** (2015), 182-198, DOI: 10.1007/s00454-014-9634-1.

[15] Molnár, E. The Projective Interpretation of the eight 3-dimensional homogeneous geometries, *Beitr. Algebra Geom.*,, **38/2** (1997), 261–288.

[16] Molnár, E. — Prok, I. — Szirmai, J. Classification of tile-transitive 3-simplex tilings and their realizations in homogeneous spaces. *Non-Euclidean Geometries, János Bolyai Memorial Volume* Ed. Prekopa, A. and Molnár, E. Mathematics and Its Applications **581**, Springer (2006), 321–363.

[17] Rogers, C.A. Packing and Covering, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics 54, *Cambridge University Press*, (1964).

[18] Stojanović, M. Coxeter Groups as Automorphism Groups of Solid Transitive 3-simplex Tilings, *Filomat,* **28/3** (2014), 557–577, DOI 10.2298/FIL1403557S.

[19] Szirmai, J. The optimal ball and horoball packings to the Coxeter honeycombs in the hyperbolic d-space, *Beitr. Algebra Geom.,* **48/1** (2007), 35–47.

[20] Szirmai, J. The densest geodesic ball packing by a type of Nil lattices, *Beitr. Algebra Geom.,* **48/2** (2007), 383–397.

[21] Szirmai, J. The densest translation ball packing by fundamental lattices in Sol space, *Beitr. Algebra Geom.,* **51/2** (2010), 353–373.

[22] Szirmai, J. Horoball packings to the totally asymptotic regular simplex in the hyperbolic n-space, *Aequat. Math.*, **85** (2013), 471-482, DOI: 10.1007/s00010-012-0158-6.

[23] Szirmai, J. Horoball packings and their densities by generalized simplicial density function in the hyperbolic space, *Acta Math. Hung.,* **136/1-2** (2012), 39–55, DOI: 10.1007/s10474-012-0205-8.

[24] Szirmai, J. Regular prism tilings in $\mathbf{SL}(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{R})$ space, *Aequat. Math.*, (2014) **88/1-2**, 67-79, DOI: 10.1007/s00010-013-0221-y.

[25] Szirmai, J. Simply transitive geodesic ball packings to $\mathbf{S^2} \times \mathbf{R}$ space groups generated by glide reflections, *Ann. Mat. Pur. Appl.*, (2013) **193/4**, 1201–1211, DOI: 10.1007/s10231-013-0324-z.

[26] Szirmai, J. The p-gonal prism tilings and their optimal hypersphere packings in the hyperbolic 3-space, *Acta Math. Hungar.* (2006) **111 (1-2)**, 65–76.

[27] Szirmai, J. The regular prism tilings and their optimal hyperball packings in the hyperbolic n-space, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* (2006) **69 (1-2)**, 195–207.

[28] Szirmai, J. The optimal hyperball packings related to the smallest compact arithmetic 5-orbifolds, *Submitted Manuscript* (2013).

[29] Szirmai, J. The least dense hyperball covering to the regular prism tilings in the hyperbolic n-space, *Ann. Mat. Pur. Appl.* (2016) **195/1**, 235–248, DOI: 10.1007/s10231-014-0460-0.

[30] Szirmai, J. A candidate for the densest packing with equal balls in Thurston geometries, *Beitr. Algebra Geom.* (2014) **55/2**, 441-452, DOI: 10.1007/s13366-013-0158-2.

[31] Vermes, I. Über die Parkettierungsmöglichkeit des dreidimensionalen hyperbolischen Raumes durch kongruente Polyeder, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.* (1972) **7**, 267–278.

[32] Vermes, I. Ausfüllungen der hyperbolischen Ebene durch kongruente Hyperzykelbereiche, Raumes, *Period. Math. Hungar.* (1979) **10/4**, 217–229.

[33] Vermes, I. Über reguläre Überdeckungen der Bolyai-Lobatschewskischen Ebene durch kongruente Hyperzykelbereiche, Raumes, *Period. Math. Hungar.* (1981) **25/3**, 249–261.

Budapest University of Technology and Economics Institute of Mathematics,
Department of Geometry,
H-1521 Budapest, Hungary.
E-mail: szirmai@math.bme.hu
http://www.math.bme.hu/ ~szirmai